1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
5	AT TACOMA	
6	ALLAN PARMELEE,	
7	Plaintiff,	NO C11 5771 DDI /VI C
8	v.	NO. C11-5771 RBL/KLS
9	TONY DUNNINGTON, HARLAN	ORDER RENOTING MOTION FOR RULE 4(d) SERVICE
10	FINCH, DOUGLAS WADDINGTON,	
11	RICHARD HAYWARD, SCOTT RUSSELL, DANIEL WHITE, CPM	
12	DAN VAN OGLE, MARCIA SANCHEZ, ED HOSKINS, STEVE	
	RAMSEY, ELDON VAIL, and JOSEPH	
13	GATCHELL,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Order Allowing Rule 4(d) Service of his	
16 17	Amended Complaint. ECF No. 29. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is currently due on April	
	16, 2012. Defendants do not object, but propose several restrictions to the service. ECF No.	
18	16, 2012. Defendants do not object, but pro	pose several restrictions to the service. ECF No.
18 19	16, 2012. Defendants do not object, but pro 36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am	-
	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am	-
19	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am approval, the motion for order allowing servi	ended complaint for the Court's review and
19 20	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am approval, the motion for order allowing servi	ended complaint for the Court's review and ce is premature. Accordingly, it is ORDERED:
19 20 21	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am approval, the motion for order allowing servi (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Rule 4(a)	ended complaint for the Court's review and ce is premature. Accordingly, it is ORDERED:
19 20 21 22	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am approval, the motion for order allowing servi (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Rule 4(a)	ended complaint for the Court's review and ce is premature. Accordingly, it is ORDERED:
19 20 21 22 23	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am approval, the motion for order allowing servi (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Rule 4(April 16, 2012. The parties stipulated to a deadline of A	ended complaint for the Court's review and ce is premature. Accordingly, it is ORDERED:
19 20 21 22 23 24	36. Because Plaintiff has not yet filed an am approval, the motion for order allowing servi (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Rule 4(4) April 16, 2012.	ended complaint for the Court's review and ce is premature. Accordingly, it is ORDERED: d) Service (ECF No. 29) is re-noted for

The Clerk shall send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to counsel for (2) Defendants. **DATED** this <u>12th</u> day of April, 2012. Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge